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ABSTRACT


The paper presents new ideas about the Lower Gondwana forests which the author believes were dominated by deciduous gymnospermous trees of Glossopteris and its allied genera. It is suggested that the larger trees of glossopterids may have been 30 to 40 m or more in height and below them grew shorter trees and shrubs of Glossopteris and its allies. The large size of glossopterid trees is suggested by that of tree trunks assigned to Dadoxylon sp. from the Ranigunj Stage where Glossopteris is the commonest fossil and the genus Noeggerathiopsis, to which they had been assigned earlier, is a rarity. Such trees could have been supported by horizontally spreading axes or roots at the base of the trunks as suggested by fossil axes or roots around missing trunks reported from the bed of Vaal River in South Africa which too had been assigned to Noeggerathiopsis.

The deciduous glossopterid trees are compared with those of modern Ginkgo biloba. It is suggested that the gradate series of glossopterid leaves with Glossopteris having a midrib and anastomosing laterals at one end of the series followed by leaves of Gangnopteris with anastomosing laterals and no midrib, Rhaeblotheca with a midrib and dichotomising non-anastomosing laterals Palaeovittata with a midrib in the lower half and non-anastomosing spreading laterals, in the distal part, Rubilegia without a midrib and spreading arch lateral and Noeggerathiopsis with dichotomising spreading straight veins at the other end may form a series of leaves which may be related to each other. It is considered that Noeggerathiopsis may not be a cordait?

The morphological nature of the fertiligers of glossopterids are discussed and compared with certain abnormal peduncles of Ginkgo biloba and it is pointed out that 10 per cent of the leaves of this genus show anastomoses and this genus may be allied to Glossopteris.

in addition, indubitable evidence in support of the in situ or autochthonous mode of formation of Lower Gondwana coal is also discussed.
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सारांश

ग्लासोप्टरिस वनस्पतिज्ञत के प्रमुख अनावृत्तीयी

विवरणीय पत्त

इस शोध पत्र में अनोपोन्तयान कड़ों के विषय में कुछ नए विचार व्यक्त किए गए हैं, जिसमें ये ग्लासोप्टरिस तथा इसके साथ वंशों के प्रभावी अनावृत्तीयी वृक्षों को आधारित सिद्धांत दिखाया गया है। वह प्रस्तावित किया जाता है कि ग्लासोप्टरिस के वृक्ष की ऊंचाई निकलती है 30 से 40 मीटर के बीच रहने जा सकती है अथवा इससे अधिक ऊंचे रहे होंगे तथा उनके बीच ग्लासोप्टरिस एवं उसके सम्बन्धित ल्यूक्स एवं ग्लासोप्टरिस के दीर्घ आकार का अनुमान होता है। जहां ग्लासोप्टरिस
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INTRODUCTION

The dominant constituents of the Lower Gondwana Glossopteris flora seem to have been the gymnosperms of the Glossopteridales which appear to have formed rich forests all over Gondwana land. In India the coal deposits of Bihar, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra have thick coal-seams where a single seam may be as thick as 31 m, e.g., in Kargali and Korba of Bokaro and Hasdo valleys respectively (Krishnan, 1949, p. 253) and about 46 m at Singrauli. In many coal basins there are multiple coal-seams placed one over the other interbedded with shale. The commonest fossils of the flora are leaves of Glossopteris. Some allies of Glossopteris e.g., Gangamopteris, Rhadobotania, Rubidgea, Palaeovittaria, Pteronilssonia, Belemnopteris and Sagittophyllum along with Noeggerathiopsis also occur. In the earlier stages of the flora, leaves of Gangamopteris and Noeggerathiopsis are predominant. Axes of Vertebraaria and petrified woods showing well marked growth rings are quite common throughout the Lower Gondwanas. Among less frequent gymnospermous fossils of the Glossopteris flora there are a few narrow-leaved conifer-like remains as well but these are not discussed in the present article.

ATTACHED LEAVES OF GLOSSEPTERIDS

Although the leaves of Glossopteris and its allies generally occur in detached state, rarely some of them have been found attached to Vertebraaria axes (Zeiller, 1896; Oldham, 1897; Arber, 1902; Doliangiti, 1954; Pant, 1977). In other cases glossopterid leaves have been found attached to axes which lack Vertebraaria characters (Bunbury, 1861; Feistmantel, 1881; Etheridge, 1894; Seward, 1910; Walton & Wilson, 1932; Plumstead, 1956; Pant, 1967; Pant & Singh, 1974; Pant & Chauhan, 1995). Etheridge (1994) found leaves of Glossopteris attached in apical clusters to small axes which show rhomboidal leaf base scars below the clusters. Seward (1910) has illustrated a whorl of Noeggerathiopsis hislopii leaves from India which shows a stem in the centre. Bunbury (1861) and Feistmantel (1881) also found them attached around twigs which they mistook for raches of compound leaves and called them Filicites and Saginopteris, respectively. Besides such clusters laterally attached single alternate leaves of Glossopteris have also been described by Pant, 1967. Accordingly, a reconstruction of the tree of Glossopteris made by Pant & Singh (1974) and Pant (1977) shows lateral spirally attached leaves around apical parts of the stem and apical clusters of leaves in short spur shoots as depicted in a reconstructed twig of Glossopteris in Text-figure 1. Attachment of leaves like that mentioned above for Glossopteris and allies actually occurs in modern Ginkgo biloba which has apical clusters of deciduous leaves in short spur shoots with rhomboidal leaf base scars below. Towards the tips of long shoots one can also see alternately attached deciduous leaves in whose axes arise the short shoots (see Text-figures 2 & 3) or the long shoot apices may themselves give rise to spurs (as seen in Text-figure 3). The manner in which this transformation takes place has been analysed by Gunkel & Wetmore, 1946 and Gunkel et al., 1949.
The above mentioned similarities between the attachments of leaves of glossopterids and those of modern Ginkgo prompt me to review earlier reconstructions of trees of Glossopteris (see Pant, 1977) and I can hereby suggest a few additions and modifications. Firstly, the trees seem to have been deciduous as first envisaged by Plumstead (1958) and as the abundance of fallen leaves and paucity of attached ones in Lower Gondwana beds also indicates. Secondly, the presence of well marked growth rings in Lower Gondwana woods suggests that the glossopterid trees were producing and living in a cold or warm temperate climate with marked changes of seasons. They were bearing green foliage leaves during summers followed by their autumnal yellowing and fall during cold winters as they do in modern Ginkgo biloba (see Text-figures 4, 5 and 6). While growing in Lower Gondwana forests the larger trees of Glossopteris may have reached diameters of nearly a metre or more at their bases. Spreading branched roots or rhizomes and their usually upright, sometimes bifurcated trunks may have been about 30 to 40 m or more in height. The above ideas about the size of trees of Glossopteris are based on the dimensions of large tree trunks first discovered in 1923 by W.W. Whitney of the East Indian Railway at a place about 3 km from Asansol in an area which Bradshaw in Bradshaw & Sahni (1925) attributed to the Panchet Series of the Lower Gondwanas but which actually belongs to the coal beds of Raniganj Stage in the Damuda Series. The dimensions of one of these tree trunks (see Text-figure 7) which is bifurcated in its lower part (a major part of this tree is placed in G.S.I. Museum at Calcutta) are mentioned as being about 93 feet (= 28.6 m) and a maximum diameter of only the central preserved column of wood as 2 feet 7 inches (= 78.76 cm) by Bradshaw in Bradshaw & Sahni (1925). Making an allowance for the possible missing top and bottom parts of the tree, I estimate its length to have been at least 30 m or more. Its maximum diameter with surrounding soft wood and bark which are not preserved may have been about a metre or more.

Soon after the discovery of the trunks, Sahni (in Bradshaw & Sahni, 1925) assigned one of them to Dadoxylon sp. and thought that it may have belonged to Noeggerathioptis, a Cordaites-like leaf genus which occurred in the Glossopteris flora and which had been assigned earlier to the genus Cordaites itself by Seward & Sahni (1920). Later, Sahni (1931)
Text-figure 3—Ginkgo biloba. I, painting of a twig from a female tree showing a number of spur shoots with scars of fallen leaves and apical clusters of leaves with ovulate peduncles in their axils. The lower spur shoot has broken off. Note that the long shoot apex has also become a spur. II, a spur from a male tree showing peduncles with stalked paired sporangia. III, family drawn outline of a twig showing the long shoot apex; 1, 2, pairs of pollen sacs below a hump, 3, 4, details of apical portions of two ovulate peduncles with collars below two ovules; 5-8, different views of seeds; 9, a developing leaf fall from Siebold & Zuccarini, 1835-1842.)
reported several more trunks of the same kind and reaffirmed his earlier attribution of such Dadoxylon trunks to the Cordaitales. It is possible that he did not consider the eligibility of Glossopteris to be the leaf of such large gymnospermous trunks because Feistmantel (1886) had described structures which he believed to be sori in Glossopteris leaves and also due to a general belief at that time about the leaves of Glossopteris being those of a fern (as indicated by the inclusion of Glossopteris in the second volume of Seward’s Fossil Plants 1910 and by the finding of sori of sporangia on the scales of Glossopteris (Arber, 1905b).

However, the situation has now completely changed and lately some leaves of Glossopteris and allies have emerged out as fertiilgers producing seeds and pollen organs and their attached or detached stems, rhizomes or roots were first shown to have a good deal of secondary xylem with araucarioid or other types of gymnosperm-like pittings in the tracheids (Walton & Wilson, 1932). Grouped pitting like that of Verbebrania is also found in Cateroxylon, Paracateroxylon, Australoxylon, Tordoxylon, Myelontordoxylon and Paratordoxylon as pointed out by Pant & Singh (1987) and these could be the woody trunk fragments of Glossopteris. Grouped pitting of this kind had been noticed earlier by Holden (1916) and Sahni (1931) who called it “somewhat Calixylon-like grouping of radial pits on the tracheids”. However Sahni regarded it as a possible Cordaitalean character. The secondary xylem of the above mentioned wood genera show abundant narrow medullary rays and well marked growth rings.
which are typical of gymnospermous wood (Walton & Wilson, 1932; Pant, 1956; Pant & Singh, 1987; Pigg & Taylor, 1993; Pigg & Trivett, 1994). Moreover, the leaves themselves show thick cuticles with sunken stomata surrounded by papillate subsidiaries around sunken guard cells showing gymnosperm type of lignin lamellae (Pant, 1958). The midrib xylem of the leaves of Glossopteris often shows bordered pits (Pant, 1958; Surange & Maheshwari, 1962) and none of their well preserved leaves shows any sori. In contrast the cuticles of fern leaves are delicate and their stomata lack lignin lamellae. Further the fructification bearing leaves or fertiligers of Glossopteris bear seeds pollinated by disaccate Striatites type of pollen which are produced by Arberiella type of pollen sacs borne on male fertiligers called Eretmonia. Glossotheca or Nessovallesia (see Pant, 1977, 1987; Pant & Nautiyal, 1984; Pigg & Trivett, 1994; Chandra & Singh, 1995). Further the internal structure of axes of Vertebria and leaves of Glossopteris and its allies as well as that of the attached or detached fructifications (fertiligers) attributed to them, as revealed by earlier studies of compressions, has been vividly confirmed by subsequent studies of their permineralized fossils (see Pant, 1997 and references cited therein). In addition to the above facts Glossopteris should be more eligible to be the leaf of the numerous large tree trunks called Dadoxylon sp. by Sahni (1925, 1931) instead of Noeggerathiopsis, since Glossopteris is the commonest leaf form occurring in the Raniganj Stage wherefrom the large tree trunks have been described, and Noeggerathiopsis, at this stage, had become quite a rarity.

Unlike the short, only 4 metres high, trees envisaged by Gould and Delevoryas (1977) dense forests of such high deciduous trees of glossopterids showing well marked growth rings in their secondary xylem would seemingly go well with the rich deopsits of coal in the Lower Gondwana beds and also with the frequent occurrences of petrified woods in association with remains of Glossopteris (Pant & Singh, 1987). I think that the thinner branches of a number of these diverse woods may have borne leaves of Glossopteris and its allies. However, leaves of some of the species of Glossopteris or its allies may have been borne on branches of small trees like the one reconstructed by Gould and Delevoryas (1977) and still others could have been borne by shrubs and lianas, e.g., Behmoopteris (Pant & Choudhury, 1977). All the same, the rather unnatural rosettes of leaves around the trees of Glossopteris depicted by Gould and Delevoryas (1977) may have been less regular with smaller and larger leaves in the apparent whorls.

As a matter of fact the coal and shales of the Lower Gondwanas appear to have been formed by thick layers of
fallen leaves strewn helter skelter and these may have accumulated repeatedly in thick annual carpets on the forest floor, as they do under the trees of modern *Ginkgo* (see Text-figure 6) whose size of 30 to 40 m, as mentioned by Pilger (1926) and Chamberlain (1935), seemingly corresponds with that of the fossil trees from Asansol. I therefore, imagine that during the Lower Gondwana times India was having rather dense deciduous forests of *Glossopteris* and its allies whose taller trees (see Text-figures 8, 9) were towering over shorter trees and shrubs so that in the words of Humboldt in these primeval woods “forest was piled upon forest” and dense humid shade of trees and shrubs provided ideal habitat for blattoid insects. The shrubs possibly had undergrowth of ferns, other pteridophytes and lower plants below them. The carpet of leaves on the undisturbed forest floor (Text-figure 10), with no records of any voracious consumers of fallen leaves, except some blattoids (see Pant & Srivastava, 1995), in the course of time, must have piled up and become mixed up with broken twigs (rarely with attached leaves) and branches, as well as broken or fallen tree trunks as seen at the locality near Asansol.

The underground or overground dead roots or root bearing axes of glossopterid trees and shrubs may also have ultimately become part of the dead organic material covering them in the forest floor. This is indicated by the greater frequency of *Vertebraria* axes at the bottom of coal seams. Wetting by rain water and fine silt displaced by rain or its drops between leaves and other plant material may have compacted the litter of leaves on the forest floor. New trees would have been coming up from germinating seeds on such a rising forest floor (Text-figure 10). In the course of millions of years the fallen leaves and other plant material became buried so that with arrested bacterial action due to lack of oxygen, it became further compacted and thereafter it was gradually converted by pressure and chemical processes of condensation and polymerisation under the influence of temperature, high pressure and time into the alternating dull and bright layered bands of the seams of coal. Occasional floods or advances of water bodies may have given rise to the intervening thin or thick layers of shale in between the coal seams.

Contrary to the *in situ* or autochthonous mode of formation of Lower Gondwana coal envisaged above and also suggested earlier by me (see Pant, 1989), some geologists like Fox, 1931 (see Krishnan, 1949) have earlier assumed that the Lower Gondwana coal is allochthonous or drift coal formed by plant material drifted into water bodies from unknown other
localities. However, the presence of *Vertebraria* axes with thin roots spreading around them (Bunbury, 1861; Pant, 1956), broken tree stumps with horizontally spreading branched roots or rhizomatous axes around them as seen in a Permo-Carboniferous, sandstone bed of Vaal River at Vereeniging South Africa (Seward, 1917, p. 240, fig. 478), show that glossopterid trees were supported by such roots or axes. *In situ* vertically preserved *Vertebraria* axes called *Vertebraria radiata* by Royle (1840), vertically compressed seedlings of *Glossopteris* called *Diphyllpteris* (Pant & Nautiyal, 1987), occurrence of rootlet beds in the floor of coal seams reported by Chowdhury, 1985 and Manjrekar et al., 1986 as mentioned by Banerjee et al. (1991), and horizontal roots and upright stems and *Vertebraria* axes later reported by Banerjee et al. (1991) and Singh and Chandra (1995) and Srivastava (1995) also vividly confirm that Lower Gondwana coal is autochthonous.

The paucity of genera of gymnospermous leaves and the multiplicity of species of *Glossopteris* has been noticed by Pant (1967) and Pant and Singh (1971) as a striking feature of the Glossopteris flora and this is particularly true of *Glossopteris* but the present day genus *Pinus* having over one hundred species spread over the entire north temperate zone from Japan in the East to western United States and western Canada in the West, with three species spreading northwards beyond the Arctic Circle in *Eurasia* and one species reaching or slightly overstepping the Equator in Sumatra (see Mirov, 1967; Little & Critchfield, 1969) presents a living parallel among the present day gymnosperms. Another parallel is provided by *Gnetum* which is distributed all over the tropical and humid regions of the world from Pacific Islands like New Guinea, Philippines and the East Indies, Siam, Malaysia, Indo-China, India, Tropical Africa, Guiana, Northern Brazil, Surinam and Amazon. Its diverse species are climbers, trees, shrubs and one of them, *Gnetum trinerve*, is a parasite (see Maheshwari & Vasil, 1961). Pant & Singh (1987) have already suggested that some Lower Gondwana woods showing excentric xylem cylinders could have belonged to lianas thereby implying that some glossopterids could have been woody climbers. Alternatively, the multiplicity of associated wood genera and leaf attached fructifications of *Glossopteris* and its allies may ultimately prove that they are divisible into a number of more natural genera, subgenera and sections on the basis of their stems and attached fructifications.

Text-figure 7—Fossil tree trunk discovered near Asansol by W.W. Whitney in 1923 (from Bradshaw & Sahni, 1925).
adjectival generic names although as pointed out by Edwards, Krausel and Lakhanpal in the discussion of the paper by Plumstead (1952) and also as pointed out by me in 1977 the nomenclature is violative of two articles of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. The case of Ottokaria was different because Zeiller (1902) believed that the fructification was lying over a Glossopteris indica leaf. Subsequently after the discoveries of a number of leaf attached fructifications by Plumstead (1952), many other authors have also given separate generic names to such fructifications. So much so that the names of such fertile leaves or fertiligers have become legitimate by wide practice and accordingly I propose that such names may be treated as nomina generica coservanda and no longer violative of the code.

However, the topic which I wish to discuss at this point is the morphological nature of the leaf attached fructifications. A search for comparable leaf attached fructifications among present day vascular plants leads me to (1) the fertile spikes of Ophioglossacea, (2) the abnormal female “flowers” of Ginkgo described by Fujii (1896), which could be taken to be reversions, (3) the flowers of Ruscus, Danae and Semele at-
tached to their prophylls and (4) the bract attached inflorescences of *Tilia*. All these structures occurring in such diverse plants may be regarded as examples of the incorporation of the axillary fertile shoots in the subtending prophylls or bracts as suggested by Arber (1950). Indeed the widespread occurrence of such structures suggests that they are not peculiar to *Glossopteris* but they have arisen repeatedly in pteridophytes, gymnosperms, monocots and dicots. The latest interpretation of the fertile spikes of Ophioglossacea regards the frond as a modified, reduced, dichotomous branch system (Zimmermann, 1942; Chrysler, 1945). In fact Nozu (1950, 1955) and Nishida (1957) regard the sterile and fertile segments as phylogenetically equivalent to fronds and therefore homologous. However, if we compare the two segments with the axillary fertile shoots of Rusceae and *Tilia* which become adnate to the subtending prophylls and bracts, they could be regarded as fertile axes adnate to phyllomes. The leaf attached fructifications of *Glossopteris* would thus appear to be quite comparable with those of the above living forms.

The axillary fructifications of *Glossopteris taenioioides* described by Pant and Singh (1974) seemingly support the axillary nature of the fructifications of *Glossopteris* even when they seem to be coming out of their midribs. The abnormal female fructifications or “flowers” of *Ginkgo* described by Fujii, 1896 (see Text-figure 11A, B) could likewise be interpreted as fused to the leaves in whose axils they were produced. Some of the abnormal fructifications of *Ginkgo* described by Sprecher (1908) are branched (see Text-figure 11C) and in this connection it is important to point out that such branched fructifications of *Ginkgo* and the multiple fertile spikes of *Ophioglossum palmatum* too have their parallels in *Eremopteris*, *Glossoscoleca*, *Partha*, *Dennmania*, *Lidgertonia* and these would perhaps require to be explained as being branched and the branches being adnate to the lamina.

The position of the fertile leaves on the plants of *Glossopteris* is, however, unknown and we do not even know whether they are similar to or different from ordinary leaves of their plants (cf “bracts” of *Tilia*). Accordingly the term fertiliger used by Schopf (1974) seems to be quite appropriate. Further, we are completely ignorant about the plants of glossopterids being monoecious or dioecious. A method of determining this could be the occurrence of male and female fertilizers in close proximity or far away but this too is presently unknown.

**RELATIONSHIPS OF GLOSSOPTERIDS**

The similarity of the size of trees, deciduous habit and abnormal female fructifications of *Ginkgo* (Text-figure 11A, B) with the envisaged size of the deciduous trees and the leaf attached fructifications (fertiligers) of *Glossopteris* could even prompt us to look for relationships between the Ginkgoales and the Glossopteridales. Both the groups appear to be having deciduous leaves borne on short as well as long shoots.

**Text-figure 10**—Reconstructed basal part of the trunk of a *Glossopteris* tree with fallen leaves forming a thick carpet around it. Note a few seedlings of *Dipphylopteris verrucifera*.

**Text-figure 11**—*Ginkgo biloba*. A, spur shoot with a number of abnormal ovulate peduncles fused to leaf stalks, some of them showing seeds borne on laminae and single almost normal peduncle. B, a single abnormal peduncle with a seed showing longitudinal striation along fleshy part of seed and the lamina below the seed. C, an abnormal peduncle with seven stalked single ovules and their collars (A, B, from Fujii, 1896. C, from Sprecher, 1907).
and both kinds of shoots showing secondary xylem with well marked growth rings. The haplocheilic stomata of Ginkgo (Pant & Mehra, 1964) and glossopterids have similar rings of papillate subsidiaries overarching sunken guard cells. However, the net veined leaves of Glossopteris having well defined midribs would, appear to be quite different from the dichotomously veined leaves of Ginkgo and the Ginkgoales. All the same Amott (1959) has reported various kinds of cross connections in 10% leaves of Ginkgo biloba (Text-figure 12) and there are other glossopterids which seemingly bridge the gap between acostate leaves with fan like spreading furcate veined leaves of Ginkgoales and net veined costate leaves of Glossopteris. These are Gangamopteris which is net veined but it has no midrib, Rhabdotecaia which is costate but its lateral veins are usually non-anastomosing, and there are species like Glossopteris angustifolia var. tenoipertoides with almost complete absence of anastomoses between lateral veins (see Seward, 1910) which are quite like Rhabdotecaia. Next comes Palaeovittaria which has an ill-defined midrib in the basal half of the leaf and only furcate non-anastomosing parallel or sub parallel veins in the lamina and there is Rubidgea which has no midrib and only furcate veins in the distal part of the lamina which are, however, arched and not straight like those of Ginkgo. At the end of the series is Noeggerathiopsis which is acostate with furcate and spreading parallel veins. This genus is believed to be a cordaites, so much so that Seward & Sahni (1920) had actually merged it with the genus Cordaites. However, it appears to me that Noeggerathiopsis may not only be different from Cordaites, as already suggested by Pant & Verma (1964a) on the basis of (1) prevalent differences in structural features of its leaves from those of Cordaites, (2) the usual absence of stems with discoid pith or Artisia pith casts and (3) the absence of Cordaitanthus-like fructifications in the Lower Gondwana beds. Indeed, it occurs to me now that it may belong to an alliance approaching the Glossopteridales which I have earlier called Noeggerathiopsidales (Pant, 1882).

Another resemblance between Ginkgo, Cordaitales and Glossopteridales (Arberiales of Meyen, 1987) lies in their platyspermic seeds which, according to Meyen (1987), is a character of fundamental importance and he actually includes his Arberiales in his Ginkgopsida. Although he regards Ginkgo as having evolved from some pteridospermous ancestors which were rather different from cordaites and conifers. On the contrary Crane (1985), Doyle and Donoghue (1986) and Hart (1987) regard Ginkgo, conifers and Cordaites as having evolved from a common ancestor. Another point which may favour the relationships of glossopteridales and ginkgoales is the early appearance of ginkgophytic leaves in the Jurassic (Archanelsky, 1965) and Lower Permian (Cuneo, 1987) of Argentina and also those of Glossopteris and its allies and the series of leaves of the glossopterids with Palaeovittaria and Rubidgea placed between them and Noeggerathiopsis also seemingly support the relationships of Glossopteridales Ginkgoales and Cordaitales.
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