# STUDIES IN THE GLOSSOPTERIS FLORA OF INDIA — 38. REMARKS ON TRIZYGIA SPECIOSA ROYLE WITH REFERENCE TO THE GENUS SPHENOPHYLLUM KOENIG

## HARI K. MAHESHWARI

Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany, Lucknow

## ABSTRACT

On the basis of morphographical, epidermal and palaeogeographical differences it is proposed to Separate Sphenophyllum speciosum (Royle, 1839) M'Clelland, 1850 from the genus Sphenophyllum Koenig and revert to the original nomenclature, i.e. Trizygia speciosa Royle, 1839.

## INTRODUCTION

THE genus Sphenophyllum was proposed by Koenig (1825) for certain plants which Brongniart (1822) had described under the name Sphenophyllites. A detailed definition of the genus was later given by Seward (1898, p. 390). This plant is a characteristic member of the Carboniferous and Permian floras of the Northern Hemisphere.

Royle (1839, PL. 2, Fig. 8) figured a fossil from the Bardwan Coal Measures of India under the name Trizygia speciosa (l.c., p. XXIX\*). He (ROYLE, l.c., p. 431) referred this species as well as the genus Sphenophyllum to Marsileaceae. According to Arber (1905, p. 34) the fact that the leaves are arranged in three pairs of unequal size led Royle to institute the genus Trizygia, while according to Feistmantel (1879, p. 165) Trizygia was proposed by Royle on account of arrangement of leaves, always six in three pairs on one side of the nodes. However, Royle in his original description (l.c., p. XXIX\* and 431) has given no reason whatsoever for the establishment of this

M'Clelland (1850, p. 54) on the basis of its close resemblance with the species of the genus Sphenophyllum renamed it Sphenophyllum speciosa. Feistmantel (1876a) described it as Sphenophyllum trizygia. Grand'Eury (1877) remarked that Trizygia speciosa resembles Sphenophyllum oblongifolium. Feistmantel (1879), however, reverted to Royle's name Trizygia. Between Trizygia and Sphenophyllum he differentiated thus:

A - Leaf whorls complete round the joint; stalk pretty thick; number of leaves

variable; leaves of the same size and shape in the whorl — Sphenosame phyllum.

B — Whorls incomplete on one side of the joints; stalk comparatively thin; number of leaves six, arranged in three pairs, of which each differs from the other in size and partly also in shape of the leaves -Trizygia.

But all the same he believed that Trizygia may be considered as representative of the Carboniferous Sphenophyllum, though

as an independent genus.

In all his later publications he refers this plant as Trizygia speciosa (1880, 1882, 1886 etc.). On the basis of discovery of specimens similar to the Indian species by Bosniaski in the Carboniferous beds of Monte Pisano, and by Zeiller in the Coal-Measures and the Permian, Zeiller (1891) included the Indian species in the genus Sphenophyllum. Seward (1898) and Arber (1905) supported this view which has since then been followed to this date. This view was further strengthened by the close similarity between the vegetative shoots of the Indian species and Sphenophyllum sino-coreanum Yabe, 1920. Halle (1927, p. 49) was so much impressed by resemblances between some specimens of S. sino-coreanum and S. speciosum that he remarked "It would be very difficult in that case to decide whether the former should be named S. speciosum or S. sino-coreanum.'

Besides India this plant has also been recorded from other Gondwanaland countries under the name Sphenophyllum speciosum, e.g., from Lower Bowen Series at Siberia Camp, Mt. Mulligan, Queensland (BALL, 1912; WALKOM, 1922, p. 7); Upper Wankie District, Wankie Sandstones, Southern Rhodesia (WALTON, 1929, p. 64, LACEY, 1961, LACEY & HUARD-MOINE, 1966); Lower Karroo Series, near Chiromo, Lower Shire Region, Nayasaland (LACEY, 1958, p. 368) and Golondrina Series, Bajo de la Leona, Argentina (ARCHANGELSKY, 1958, p. 27).

# DESCRIPTION

1839 — Trizygia speciosa, Royle, p. XXIX\* pl. 2, fig. 8. 1845 — Trizygia speciosa, Unger, p. 114.

1850 — Sphenophyllum trizygia, Unger, p. 71. 1850 — Sphenophyllum speciosa, M'Clelland, p. 54.

1860 — Sphenophyllum, Oldham, p. 326.

1865 — Trizygia, Blanford, p. 31.

1876a — Sphenophyllum trizygia, Feistmantel, p. 70.

1876b — Sphenophyllum trizygia, Feistmantel, p. 342, pl. 15, fig. 1. 2.

1879 — Trizygia, Feistmantel, p. 165.

1880 — Trizygia speciosa, Feistmantel, p. 69.

1882 — Trizygia speciosa, Feistmantel, p. 22.

1886 — Trizygia speciosa, Feistmantel, p. 22. 1891 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Zeiller,

p. 673.

1898 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Seward, p. 411, text. fig. 111.

1900 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Zeiller, p. 140.

1901 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Arber, p. 546.

1901 — *Trizygia*, Kidston, p. 129.

1905 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Arber, p. 35, pl. 1, fig. 1

1912 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Ball, p. 11.

1922 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Walkom,

1929 - Sphenophyllum speciosum, Walton, p. 64.

1958 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Lacey, p. 368.

1958 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Archangelsky, p. 27.

1963 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Pant & Mehra, p. 51.

1964 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Boureau, p. 83.

1966 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Surange, p. 23.

1966 — Sphenophyllum speciosum, Lacey & Huard-Moine, p. 15.

The plants belonging to this species show most of the characteristic vegetative features of the genus Sphenophyllum. The stems are slender and articulated with the nodes slightly swollen. The internodal region usually shows two ridges which do not alternate from one internode to the next, but are continuous. Leaves are arranged in whorls of six at the nodes; the successive whorls being superposed. A leaf

whorl is complete round the node and not on one side of the node as Feistmantel (1879, p. 166) believed them to be. The leaves are so orientated with reference to the axis that their laminae are extended in a plane parallel to the axis, so that the shoot is dorsiventral and the leaves form a flat or mosaic. The six leaves are arranged in three pairs of different shape and size. The leaves of the upper two pairs are larger, simple, entire, elongate-ovate and spreading while those of the lower pair are smallest simple, entire, ovate and reflexed. A single vein (? or more) enters each leaf, dichotomizes three to five times, but no anastomoses are formed. The number of veins at the apical margin of each leaf varies from 10-18 or sometimes even more. In fossil state the leaves are spread out in a single plane and this led Pant and Mehra (1963) to suggest that the shoots of this species were probably strangling on the ground like those of the genus Galium of Rubiaceae. Seward (1898, p. 389) had also made a similar comparison between the appearance of what must have been fresh green shoots of Sphenophyllum, and the Galium of hedgerows. However, there is little evidence to suggest that the shoots of Trizygia speciosa were strangling on the ground. The view that this plant was a creeper which supported itself on the stronger trees seems to be more acceptable.

Pant and Mehra (1963) have described the epidermal structure of this plant. The study of the epidermal preparations made by me confirms most of their observations (see Surange, 1966). Pant and Mehra describe the cells of one epidermis as being longitudinally elongated and with less sinuous walls. In my preparation, however, the cells of one epidermal surface have straight-walled cells. But this perhaps is a minor difference. Pant and Mehra thought that they were observing both the epidermal surfaces, while most probably their preparations show only one epidermal layer, because in pull preparations it is not always possible to tell if both layers are present. The stomata are haplocheilic. The number of subsidiary cells is not definite and they are almost indistinguishable from the ordinary epidermal cells. The guard cells are distinctly marked out because of their thickenings. Stomatal pore is elongate oval to lens-shaped. (For figures see Pant & MEHRA, 1963, and SURANGE, 1966).

## DISCUSSION

As pointed out earlier this Indian species was originally described as Trizygia speciosa but was later included in the genus Sphenophyllum. Abbott (1958) has given a chart showing morphographic details of American species of the genus Sphenophyllum. The Indian form differs from them all in gross morphographical characters. Unlike the southern form the northern species of the genus Sphenophyllum show a dentate, lobed, toothed or crenate margin, except in one or two cases. In most of the northern forms the number of leaves in a whorl is 6-12, sometimes six as in the southern form. In almost all but a few northern species (e.g., S. oblongifolium and S. sino-coreanum) all the leaves of a whorl are equal. In S. oblongifolium, however, the apical margin is toothed and in S. sinocoreanum it is notched as against convex smooth margin of S. speciosum. The latter form is nearest to the Indian species and can with difficulty be separated from the Indian form (see HALLE, 1927, p. 47, PL. 9, FIGS. 12, 13, 18-20). Some specimens figured as Sphenophyllum sp. (HALLE, l.c., PL. 10, FIGS. 10-12) recall the leaf arrangement of the Indian form. But by a detailed study of shape, apical margin and venation these two Chinese species can be separated from the Indian form. Thus though morphologically the Indian form is distinct from all other species of the genus Sphenophyllum, yet it can not be taken out of the genus on this ground alone.

The epidermal structure of several species of the genus Sphenophyllum is now known (ABBOTT, 1958; RADFORTH & WALTON, 1960, and PANT & MEHRA, 1963). In. S. cuneifolium (Sternberg, 1823) Zeiller, 1880 the epidermal cells have sinuous walls and the marginal cells in the distal part of the leaf project to form short pointed teeth (RADFORTH & WALTON, l.c., p. 103, PL. 2. FIG. 7a-c). From the description it is not clear whether both the epidermal layers have sinuous-walled cells. If so then this species is probably different on generic level from the Indian form. Stomatal structure has not been described for S. cuneifolium by these authors. Sphenophyl-(Williamson, 1876) dawsonii lostachys Seward, 1898 is the strobilus of S. cuneifolium. No reproductive structure resembling even remotely S. dawsonii are known

from the Southern Hemisphere. In S. majus (Bronn, 1828) Bronn, 1835 the subsidiary cells form almost complete ring around the stomata (Abbott, 1958) whereas in Indian form the subsidiary cells are like ordinary epidermal cells and are arranged irregularly. In S. angustifolium (Fermar, 1845) Goeppert, 1848 hairs are present while they have not been found so far in the Indian form. Sphenophyllum emarginatum (Brongniart, 1822) Koenig, 1825, type species of the genus, possesses 6-9, triangular, ± equal sized leaves with blunt teeth. The epidermal cells on the abaxial surface are rectangular and sinuouswalled. In S. oblongifolium (Germar & Kaulfuss) Unger the leaves of a whorl are dimorphic like those of the southern form but the apices are dentate. The epidermal cells are rectangular and sinuous-walled; stomata not known. From the above Pant and Mehra (1963, p. 55) concluded that "The Gondwana form of the genus, S. speciosum, may be specifically distinct but the form of its foliage shoots and epidermis strongly suggest that it belongs in all likelihood to the same natural alliance."

However, to me it seems that morphographically there is enough support for separation of the Indian form from Sphenophyllum and on the basis of epidermal studies too, the Indian species can be separated from the northern forms at generic level. This separation further seems desirable because of the incomplete knowledge about the Indian form; of course this point is debatable. Similarity in gross morphological characters of vegetative parts does not necessarily mean that the two individuals belong to the same taxon or rank. This has been amply proved by recent works. A leaf of Glossopteris closely resembles a leaflet of Sagenopteris but the two have different types of reproductive organs. In the genus Glossopteris itself while all the leaves show similar basic morphographical characters, yet they have been found to belong to 4 or more taxonomic groups — probably of generic rank (Surange & SRIVASTAVA, 1957). The northern genus Annularia is very similar to the southern genus Stellotheca but as there is no evidence of the presence of Calamitales in the Southern Hemisphere these two genera have been kept separate (Surange & Prakash, 1962). Without the knowledge of fructification it is seldom possible to tell whether a fern-like frond is actually a fern or a Pteridosperm.

So unless we come to know more about our southern member of Sphenophyllales, particularly about its fructification, it seems desirable to separate it from the genus Sphenophyllum. And for that we can revert to the original name Trizygia speciosa Royle, which will be well in accordance with the views of Kräusel (1928) and Edwards (1955) that the northern forms identified in the Glossopteris flora are possibly different from similar looking elements occurring in the north with which they have been identified.

Recently Gorelova (1962) described a new species of Trizygia — T. tomiensis from the Upper Permian of Montagneux district in Altai-Sainsk. Boureau (1964) has transferred this species to the genus Sphenophyllum. This change of generic name is justified as in S. tomiensis the leaves of a whorl do not differ in size amongst themselves as is the case in Trizygia and further more this species is based on a fragmentary specimen.

#### REFERENCES

## \*Not consulted in original

ABBOTT, M. L. (1958). The American species of Asterophyllites, Annularia, and Sphenophyllum. Bull. Amer. Palaeont., 38 (174). 289-372.

\*Arber, E. A. N. (1901). Notes on Royle's types of fossil plants from India. Geol. Mag., dec., IV, 8: 546.

Idem (1905). Catalogue of the fossil plants of the Glossopteris flora in the Department of Geology, British Museum (Natural History). Longmans and Co., London.

Archangelsky, S. (1958). Estudio geologico y palaeontologico del Bajo de la Leona (Santa Cruz). Acta Geol. Lilloana, 2: 5-133.

\*BALL, L. C. (1912). The Mt. Mulligan Coalfield.

Od geol. Surv. Publ., 237. \*Blanford, H. F. (1865). Mem. geol. Surv. India, 3(1). 31.

BOUREAU, (1964). Traité de Paleobotanique. III. Sphenophyta-Noeggerathiophyta. Masson Cie. Paris.

Brongniart, A. (1822). Sur la classification et la distribution des végétaux fossiles en général et

ceux des terrains du sédiment supérieur en particular. Mem. Mus. Hist. nat., 8: 203-240. EDWARDS, W. N. (1955). The geographical distribution of past floras. Adv. Sci., 46: 1-12.

FEISTMANTEL, O. (1876a). Notes on the age of some fossil floras in India. Rec. geol. Surv. India, 9(3) 63-79. Idem (1876b). On some fossil plants from the

Damuda Series in the Raniganj Coalfield, collected by Mr. J Wood-Mason. J. Asiat. Soc.

Beng., 45(2): 329.

Idem (1879). Notes on the genus Sphenophyllum and other Equisetaceae with reference to Indian form Trizygia speciosa Royle (Sphenophyllum trizygia, Ung.). Rec. geol. Surv. India, 12(3): 163-166.

Idem (1880). Fossil flora of the Gondwana System. The flora of the Damuda-Panchet Divisions. Mem. geol. Surv. India, Palaeont. indica, Ser.

(12), 3(2) 1-149.

Fossil flora of the Gondwana System. Idem (1882). The fossil flora of the South Rewah Gondwana Basin. Ibid. 4(1): 1-/52.

Idem (1886). Fossil flora of the Gondwana System. The fossil flora of some of the coalfields in Western Bengal. *Ibid.* 4(2): 1-66. GORELOVA, S. G. & RADCZENKO, G. P. (1962). Les Plantes les plus importantes des sédiments du Permien supérieur District Montagneux à Altai-Saïansk, V.S.E.G.E.I. 79: 39-243. Leningrad.

\*Grand'Eury, C. (1877). Flora Carbonifere du dé pt du la Loire et du Centre de la France. Mém. Ac. Sci. Paris. 24.

HALLE, T. G. (1927). Palaeozoic plants from Central Shansi. Palaeont. sinica, Ser. A, 2(1): 1-316.

\*KIDSTON, R. (1901). Trans. nat. Hist. Soc. Glasgow, n.s. 6: 129.

\*KOENIG (1825). Icones fossilium sectiles. London. KRÄUSEL, R. & RANGE, P. (1928). Beiträge zur kenntnis der Karruformation Deutsch-Südwest Afrikas. Beitr. z. geol. Erforsch. dt. Schutzgebeite, 20 · 1-54.

McClelland, J. (1850). Report of the Geological Survey of India for the season 1848-49. Cal-

OLDHAM, T. (1860). On the geological relations, and probable geological age, of the several systems of rocks in Central India and Bengal. Mem. geol. Surv. India, 2: 229-335.

PANT, D. D. & MEHRA, B. (1963). On the epidermal structure of Sphenophyllum speciosum (Royle) Zeiller. Palacontographica, 112B: 51-57.

RADFORTH, N. W. & WALTON, J. (1960). On some fossil plants from the Minto Coalfield, NSW Brunswick. Sench. Leth., 41: 101-119.

ROYLE, J. F. (1839). Illustrations of the Botany and other branches of Natural History of the Himalayan mountains, etc. London (1833-39).

SEWARD, A. C. (1898). Fossil Plants. 1. Reprint 1963, Hafner Publishing Co., New York & London.

SURANGE, K. R. (1966). Indian Fossil Pteriodophytes. Botanical Monographs. 4. C.S.I.R., New Delhi

SURANGE, K. R. & PRAKASH, G. (1962). Studies in the Glossopteris flora of India — 12. Stellotheca robusta nov. comb., a new equisetaceous plant from the Lower Gondwanas of India. Palaeobotanist, 9: 49-52 (1960).

Surange, K. R. & Srivastava, P. N. (1956). Studies in the Glossopteris flora of India - 5. Generic status of Glossopteris, Gangamopteris and

Palaeovittaria. Ibid. 5(1): 46-49.

UNGER, F. (1845). Synopsis plantarum fossilium.
Idem (1850). Genera et species plantarum fossilium. Vindobonae.
WALKOM, A. B. (1922). Palaeozoic floras of Queensland — 1. The flora of the Lower and Upper Bowen Series. Qd. geol. Surv. Publ., 270: 1-45 270: 1-45.

Walton, J. (1929). The fossil flora of the Karroo System in the Wankie District, Southern Rhodesia. Bull. geol. Surv. S. Rhodesia, No. 15: 62-75.

ZEILLER, R. (1891). Sur la valeur du genre Trizygia. Bull. Soc. geol. Er., Ser. 3, 19: 673. Idem (1900). Eléments de Paléobotanique. Paris.